NOTE: This is NOT a screening template but to highlight and give you an indication of any potential equality implications at the project proposal stage. By completing this template, it is your responsibility to evidence why a FULL EqIA is NOT required.

If you have insufficient evidence, data and research or need to undertake further consultation to assess the potential impact of your proposals, then a full EqIA will be required.

Directorate / Service:	Resources Directorate/Risk Audit and Fraud Division					
What are the proposals being assessed? ( <b>Note:</b> 'proposal' includes a policy, service, function, strategy, project, procedure, restructure)	Cabinet Approval of the Council's 2013-14 Statement of Risk Appetite					
Manager Responsible for Area:	David Ward, Divisional Director: Risk Audit and Fraud					
Officer(s) completing the Initial Equality Implications Assessment (IEIA):	Neale Burns, Interim Risk Manager DD 0208 416 8391					
Date IEIA completed:	22.01.13					

<ul> <li>1. What are the aims, objectives, and desired outcomes of your proposals?</li> <li>(Also explain proposals e.g. reduction / removal of service, deletion of posts, changing criteria etc)</li> </ul>	To ensure the Cabinet, Members, officers and stakeholders of the Council are aware of the Council's Statement of Risk Appetite for 2013-14 and comply with its provisions and so to ensure that the Council complies with recognised best practice in corporate governance.
2. Who are the main people / groups who may be affected by your proposals? For example who are the external/internal customers, communities, partners, stakeholders, the workforce etc.	All Members, council staff and council stakeholders.

<b>3.</b> What data, information, evidence, research, statistics, surveys, and consultation(s) have you considered to undertake this assessment?	Consultations were undertaken (via-one-to-one personal interviews and communication via email) during December 2012 and also January 2013 with a representative range of Members and council officers. This included corporate directors, heads of service and the GARM committee of Members. The attached Statement incorporates feedback from this consultation.					
(include the actual data, statistics and evidence)						
4. Could your proposals	Yes	No	If yes, please explain how?			
proportionately affect more people of one group than another?		No				

## 4. A - Assessment Relevance

How relevant are your proposals to each protected characteristic?

**Example:** Reviewing the criteria of freedom passes will be of 'High' relevance for Age and Disability and of 'Low' relevance to the other protected characteristics.

## **B** - Assessment of potential impact

When you consider the impact on people in relation to each protected characteristic, it should be defined as positive, neutral or negative:

**Positive:** where the impact is expected to have a particular benefit for this protected characteristic or improve equality of opportunity and / or foster good relations.

Neutral: where there will be a neutral impact, neither positive nor negative

**Negative:** where there is a risk that impact could disadvantage one or more of the people described in relation to a protected characteristic. This disadvantage may be differential, where the negative impact on one particular group of individuals or protected characteristic is likely to be greater than on another.

## C - Assessing Negative impact – what are the risks?

When you have considered the likelihood and impact on people in relation to the protected characteristics, use the tables and matrix below and enter a score against each protected characteristic in the end column C.

Unlawful disc	rimination	5 C	ertain to occur 5		5 5 10 15	20 25	
Disproportion	nate disadvantage	4 V	ery likely to occur 4		4 4 8 12	16 20	
Moderate dis	advantage	3 Li	kely to occur 3		3 3 6 9	12 15	
Minor adjustr	nents required	2 P	ossible to occur 2	LIKELIHOOD	2 2 4 6	8 10	
Minimal cons	iderations		ery unlikely to occur 1		1 1 2 3	4 5	
necessary			KELIHOOD		0 1 2 3	4 5	
	OF IMPACT				IMPACT		
		Calculating f	the score - Severity of Impact X Lil	kelihood = Scor	re		
Protected	<b>A</b> Relevance	<b>B</b> Impact	Describe the impact(s) (negative or positive) your proposals may have or		e Assessment of Potential Impact	<b>C</b> Assessing Negative	
Characteristic	Low/ Medium/ High	Positive/ Negative/ Neutral	this protected characteristic	•	(What evidence, data, and information did you use to assess this?)		
Age (including carers of young/older people)	Low	Neutral	Neutral	Report is to a statement req governance te impact either any protected	1		
Disability (including carers of disabled people)	Low	Neutral	Neutral	statement req governance te impact either	pprove an organisational uired in corporate erms and which does not positively or negatively on characteristic	1	
Gender Reassignment	Low	Neutral	Neutral	statement req governance te impact either	pprove an organisational uired in corporate erms and which does not positively or negatively on characteristic	1	

Marriage and Civil Partnership	Low	Neutral	Neutral	Report is to approve an organisational statement required in corporate governance terms and which does not impact either positively or negatively on any protected characteristic	1
Pregnancy and Maternity	Low	Neutral	Neutral	Report is to approve an organisational statement required in corporate governance terms and which does not impact either positively or negatively on any protected characteristic	1
Race	Low	Neutral	Neutral	Report is to approve an organisational statement required in corporate governance terms and which does not impact either positively or negatively on any protected characteristic	1
Religion or Belief	Low	Neutral	Neutral	Report is to approve an organisational statement required in corporate governance terms and which does not impact either positively or negatively on any protected characteristic	1
Sex	Low	Neutral	Neutral	Report is to approve an organisational statement required in corporate governance terms and which does not impact either positively or negatively on any protected characteristic	1
Sexual orientation	Low	Neutral	Neutral	Report is to approve an organisational statement required in corporate governance terms and which does not impact either positively or negatively on any protected characteristic	1

Score	Action
1-5 Low	Minor considerations needed e.g. style and method of communication, timing of activity, venue suitability, and
I-S LOW	minor cultural or social considerations.
6 10 Modium	Amendments will be needed to the proposals/activity to take account of any issues identified. Further actions
6-10 Medium	maybe necessary as well as internal/external expert advice/consultation could be required.
11-15 High	The proposals/initiative cannot be rolled out until detailed internal/external consultation has taken place with
	those the activity affects. Legal advice may also be required.
16.25 Mony High	If unlawful discrimination is identified then the proposals cannot be implemented without fundamental change
16-25 Very High	and you are also strongly advised to take legal advice.

Summary and Recommendations (this s Commissioning Panel)	section must be included in Cabinet reports	and your pr	oject propos	al reports t	for the
Summary / Conclusion of assessment: (include					
the key findings and equality implications.	Proposal has a neutral impact on all protected	d characterist	ics.		
On the basis of your conclusion, do you suggest undertaken?	a full Equality Impact Assessment should be	Yes		No	No
If no, please explain why not?	Proposal has a neutral impact on all protected between1-5) in equalities impact terms.	d characterist	ics and is the	refore low r	isk (scoring
Do you think that your proposals will have a cumulative effect upon a particular protected group in light of other council proposals that you are aware of? If yes, please explain the cumulative impact and on which groups.	No				

Signature - Lead Officer	Neale Burns	Date	22.01.13
--------------------------	-------------	------	----------

Project Proposals being submitted to the Commissioning Panel	All other proposals including policy/service reviews, developing new policies, services and projects, restructure etc
On completion, your (signed) Initial Equality Implications Assessment template needs to be submitted with your project proposals by the set deadline.	On completion, the (signed) Initial Equality Implications Assessment template needs to be forwarded to the Chair of your Directorate Equalities Task Group (ETG) to be reviewed and signed off.
As part of the Commissioning Panel process, all completed templates will be Quality Assured taking into account your recommendation whether a full EqIA is required or not. If the Quality Assurance Group disagrees with a recommendation	After reviewing the template, your ETG may suggest you undertake a full EqIA; therefore it is important that you wait for this decision before submitting your report.
that a full EqIA is not required, this will be fed back to the project leads with the group's comments and reason for their decision.	DETG Chairs – once you have reviewed and signed off the section above, please return this template to the Lead Officer with your comments and decision.
	Lead officers must then email their completed (signed) templates to equalities@harrow.gov.uk to be published

Quality Assurance and Sign Off (to be used by ETG's and the Quality Assurance Group)

Are the outcomes of the proposals clear?		Yes	No	
Comments:				
Is it clear who will be affected by what is being	proposed?	Yes	No	
Comments:				
Are you satisfied with the level of data/evidence	e used to undertake this assessment?	Yes	No	
If no, explain why not?				
If a full EqIA is not required, are you satisfied w	vith this outcome?	Yes	No	
If no, explain why not?				
Signature - Chair of Equality Task Group		Date		